Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Chang-Sheng Yao, Hai-Bin Song, You-Quan Zhu, Ying Gao, Fang-Zhong Hu, Xiao-Mao Zou and Hua-Zheng Yang\*

State Key Laboratory and Institute of Elemento-Organic Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin, Weijin Road No. 94, Tianjin, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: chshengyao@mail.nankai.edu.cn

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 KMean  $\sigma(C-C) = 0.003 \text{ Å}$  R factor = 0.041 wR factor = 0.103 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.6

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# 3-(2-Chlorobenzyl)-2-thioxoperhydropyrimidin-4-one

The tetrahydropyrimidine ring of the title molecule,  $C_{11}H_{11}ClN_2OS$ , adopts a half-chair conformation. In the crystal structure, the molecules are linked to form centrosymmetric hydrogen-bonded dimers.

Received 22 April 2004 Accepted 28 April 2004 Online 8 May 2004

## Comment

The derivatives of uracil and thiouracil are very attractive because of their varied bioactivity (Gupta *et al.*, 2004; South *et al.*, 2003). For example, lenacil, bromacil, butafenacil, flupropacil, isocil and terbacil are widely used as herbicides. Besides, some of them possess antidiabetic activity (Soliman, 1979). This led us to pay more attention to the synthesis and structure determination of these compounds. Recently, we have synthesized a series of derivatives of uracil and thiouracil to study the relationship between the structure and herbicidal activity. We report here the crystal structure of the title compound, (I).



The molecular structure of (I) is shown in Fig. 1. The tetrahydropyrimidine ring adopts a half-chair conformation, similar to that observed in related structures (Lorente & Aurrecoechea, 1994; Rohrer & Sundaralingam, 1968; Furberg & Jensen, 1968). The attachment of the chlorobenzyl ring to the tetrahydropyrimidine ring is described by the torsion angle C1-N1-C5-C6 of 89.1 (2)°. In the crystal structure, centrosymmetrically related molecules form dimeric pairs through intermolecular  $N-H \cdots S$  hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2 and Table 2).



The structure of (I), showing 40% probability displacement ellipsoids and

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

the atom-numbering scheme.





The N-H $\cdot \cdot \cdot$ S hydrogen-bonded dimers in (I), viewed down the *a* axis. Intermolecular hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

### **Experimental**

According to the reported procedure of Hatam *et al.* (1996), the title compound was synthesized by refluxing 3-({[(2-chlorobenzyl)-amino]carbonothioyl]amino)propanoate in triethylamine for about 2 h. After cooling, the precipitate was filtered off and recrystallized from a mixture of acetone and ethanol, which gave single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction.

#### Crystal data

C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>11</sub> ClN <sub>2</sub> OS	$D_x = 1.447 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 254.73$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Cell parameters from 781
$a = 5.3014 (19) \text{\AA}$	reflections
b = 10.502 (4) Å	$\theta = 2.7-26.3^{\circ}$
c = 21.102 (7) Å	$\mu = 0.48 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 95.591(5)^{\circ}$	T = 293 (2)  K
V = 1169.3 (7) Å <sup>3</sup>	Prism, colorless
Z = 4	$0.26$ $\times$ 0.24 $\times$ 0.20 mm
Data collection	
Bruker SMART CCD area-detector	2410 independent reflections
diffractometer	1925 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.020$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.4^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$h = -6 \rightarrow 5$
$T_{\min} = 0.807, \ T_{\max} = 0.908$	$k = -13 \rightarrow 11$
6631 measured reflections	$l = -26 \rightarrow 22$
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0433P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.041$	+ 0.4892P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.103$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.07	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
2410 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.21 \text{ e} \text{ \AA}^{-3}$
145 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.35 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

S1-C1	1.676 (2)	C3-C4	1.491 (3)
Cl1-C7	1.739 (2)	C5-C6	1.511 (3)
N1-C1	1.392 (2)	C6-C11	1.388 (3)
N1-C2	1.398 (3)	C6-C7	1.395 (3)
N1-C5	1.471 (3)	C7-C8	1.381 (3)
N2-C1	1.315 (3)	C8-C9	1.374 (3)
N2-C4	1.455 (3)	C9-C10	1.371 (3)
O1-C2	1.210 (2)	C10-C11	1.386 (3)
C2-C3	1.495 (3)		
C1-N1-C2	122.90 (17)	N1-C5-C6	112.91 (17)
C1-N1-C5	119.62 (16)	C11-C6-C7	116.86 (19)
C2-N1-C5	117.41 (16)	C11-C6-C5	123.08 (18)
C1-N2-C4	123.95 (17)	C7-C6-C5	120.06 (18)
N2-C1-N1	116.71 (17)	C8-C7-C6	122.1 (2)
N2-C1-S1	121.72 (15)	C8-C7-Cl1	118.82 (16)
N1-C1-S1	121.56 (15)	C6-C7-Cl1	119.11 (17)
O1-C2-N1	120.7 (2)	C9-C8-C7	119.6 (2)
O1-C2-C3	123.57 (19)	C10-C9-C8	119.7 (2)
N1-C2-C3	115.68 (17)	C9-C10-C11	120.5 (2)
C4-C3-C2	110.65 (18)	C10-C11-C6	121.23 (19)
N2-C4-C3	108.42 (19)		
C4-N2-C1-N1	5.4 (3)	C5-N1-C2-C3	-175.25 (19)
C4-N2-C1-S1	-173.80(18)	O1-C2-C3-C4	144.7 (2)
C2-N1-C1-N2	15.3 (3)	N1-C2-C3-C4	-36.2(3)
C5-N1-C1-N2	-167.77(18)	C1-N2-C4-C3	-39.4(3)
C2-N1-C1-S1	-165.51(16)	C2-C3-C4-N2	51.9 (3)
C5-N1-C1-S1	11.4 (3)	C1-N1-C5-C6	89.1 (2)
C1-N1-C2-O1	-179.2 (2)	C2-N1-C5-C6	-93.8(2)
C5-N1-C2-O1	3.8 (3)	N1-C5-C6-C11	6.9 (3)
C1-N1-C2-C3	1.7 (3)	N1-C5-C6-C7	-173.86 (17)

Table 2		
Hydrogen-bonding	geometry (Å	°)

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$N2-H2\cdots S1^{i}$	0.86	2.48	3.321 (2)	166
Summature and as (i)	1 .			

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, 1-z.

Table 1

H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with C-H = 0.93 or 0.97 Å and N-H = 0.86 Å, and included in the final cycles of refinement using a riding model, with  $U_{\rm iso}(\rm H) = 1.2 U_{\rm eq}(\rm parent atom)$ .

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: *SMART*; data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1999); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The authors acknowledge the financial support of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 20372040) and the Doctor's Special Foundation of the Higher Education Ministry (grant No. 20020055022).

#### References

Bruker (1998). SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Bruker (1999). SAINT and SHELXTL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Furberg, S. & Jensen, L. H. (1968). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 90, 470-474.

Gupta, S., Pulman, D. A. & Roh, T. (2004). US Patent No. 20 040 018 941. Hatam, M., Kopper, S. & Martens, J. (1996). *Heterocycles*, **43**, 1653–1663.

H-atom parameters constrained

Lorente, A. & Aurrecoechea, L. M. (1994). Heterocycles, 38, 1077–1087.Rohrer, D. & Sundaralingam, M. (1968). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 746–747.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Soliman, R. (1979). J. Med. Chem. 22, 351-353.
- South, M. S., Jones, D. E. & Rueppel, M. L. (2003). US Patent No. 20 030 023 086.